

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
Andrew P. Bridges (SBN: 122761)
Jennifer A. Golinveaux (SBN: 203056)
Matthew A. Scherb (SBN: 237461)
101 California Street, Suite 3900
San Francisco, CA 94111-5894
Telephone: 415-591-1000
Facsimile: 415-591-1400
abridges@winston.com; jgolinveaux@winston.com;
mscherb@winston.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
METHOD PRODUCTS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

METHOD PRODUCTS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TRUESCENTS, LLC and
PROLUBES, LLC

Defendants.

Case No. C-06-2158 CRB

**STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL
WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER**

STIPULATION

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a), Plaintiff Method Products, Inc. and Defendants Truescents, LLC and Prolubes, LLC stipulate to voluntary dismissal of this action without prejudice subject to the terms of the parties' settlement agreement.

The parties further stipulate, and request that the Court order, that the Court retain jurisdiction over matters contained in the parties' settlement agreement for the purpose of enforcing, or adjudicating disputes arising from, the parties' settlement agreement.

1 Dated: June 16, 2006

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

2 By: /s/

3 Andrew P. Bridges
4 Jennifer A. Golinveaux
5 Matthew A. Scherb

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
METHOD PRODUCTS, INC.

7 Dated: June 16, 2006

COOPER, WHITE & COOPER

8 By: /s/

9 Robert A. Padway

10 Attorneys for Defendants
11 TRUESCENTS, LLC (sued herein as
12 TRUESCENTS, LLC and PROLUBES,
13 LLC)

14 **ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE**

15 I, Jennifer A. Golinveaux, declare under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing
16 of this document has been obtained from Robert A. Padway, attorney for Defendants.

17 Dated: June 16, 2006

/s/

18 Jennifer A. Golinveaux
19 Attorney for Plaintiff
20 METHOD PRODUCTS, INC.

21 **ORDER**


22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that all claims are hereby
23 dismissed without prejudice subject to the terms of the parties' settlement agreement.

24 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court retains
25 jurisdiction over matters contained in the parties' settlement agreement for the purpose of
26 enforcing, or adjudicating disputes arising from, the parties' settlement agreement.

27 IT IS SO ORDERED.

28 Dated: June 20, 2006

CHARLES R.
UNITED STATES



STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT
PREJUDICE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

SF:134755.3